SEEKING THE REMEDY

Judge Horton Gives His Ideas of the Moral and Legal Responsibility.

And He, with Dr. H. A. Johnson, Believes a Good Will Come of "The Times's" Crusade Against Infanticide.

A Scathing Letter Directed at Preachers Too Cowardly to Speak Against the Great Crime.

"Now, I would like to tell you all I think about your fine article, but I feel so incompetent to do so," said Judge Horton, in speaking with and approval of THE TIMES'S attitude this thing, but the public will not readily separate my individual opinion from my judicial position." Thus spoke Judge O. H. Horton of the Circuit court.

"You see, I may have to act in some cases growing out of this matter and it would manifestly be improper for me to express an honest man as an individual would be the opinions of that man on the bench. But, I may say that I think good has and will yet come of this crusade against the crime. I believe an investigation should be made by the proper authorities, and if THE TIMES is correctly reporting this thing prosecutions should follow, if not justice to unborn and women is often up demands that they be vindicated.

"Is there not in chapter 38, sections 6, 45, and 46 of the penal code laws that would indictment and conviction for causing to sicken abortion or giving prescriptions for that purpose, or giving information that would indicate who would do it?"

"Again, the detective system here appears to be of no service. Evidence for the apprehension of criminals doesn't seem to be the most important among the qualifications necessary to secure a position on our detective force." When a case of criminal interest is discovered the newspapers immediately publish all the details, and the malpractitioner, being put on his guard, knows just how to plan to get out of the scrape, either by fixing up a plausible story or leaving the city."

Dr. D. R. Brower.

"I am afraid I'm a pessimist in regard to legislation on this matter, too," said Dr. R. R. Brower at 70 State street. "I mean by that that in view of past experience with the Illinois legislature I am not sanguine of getting needed laws on our subject. That there are certain things amending in several necessary features there is no doubt. But, with the legislative action on our insanity laws before my mind I do not hope for much that will bring to the law needed in this matter. We need a law that will give the board of examiners power to revoke a license for cause and that will not compel it to give a reason for the withdrawal. I also need a law that will put these abortionists out of the way; expel them for agreeing to perform or aid in bringing about an abortion, and that will punish severely for the same thing also. Such a law properly framed would tend to make doctors respect and keep the oath of Hippocrates on this subject.

"I am afraid, however, that this discussion will do good, and while I don't agree with THE TIMES all the way through I think that in the main good will come of its exposures. It may be able by the agitation to form public opinion so as to induce the legislation needed; it will certainly do much toward bringing many doctors who will commit the crime to abandon it, and it will, I believe, have a reflection on the police system by the women as will deter many from seeking to have it done. Certainly it is in this line that great revolution is needed. But you have started the ball rolling about it. A woman was in to see me the other day and said that as far as she was concerned she and her husband would not have any more children if they did not want them, it mattered not what it was said and written on the subject. Of course a case like this tells its own story. It was a woman of wealth and prominence. I am hardly in favor of the establishment of lying-in hospitals or general first-class hospitals here already lying-in departments which are under excellent management."

Obata Principia.

TO THE EDITOR: In your editorial of Dec. 29 you state that one cause for surprise is expressed that the ministers of the gospel in Chicago are not coming to the front aiding you in your grand, noble, manly, Christian work in exposing the infantal child-murderers.

"This fact should not be a surprise to the close observer of passing events. I will interpret myself in this matter. The great mistake is taken in our outspoken, fearless course in advocating a high and better moral status of our physicians. This is as it should be. God bless you in your good work.

Dr. Harris in his address, recent in stating that your proposed law will not regulate conscience or do away with avarice. Truth, every word of it, but the law proposed is in the right direction and we hope it may pass and be enforced.

Now to our text: "Resist the first beginnings." We, as a people that pay for the ministry of the gospel, and the great majority of our ministers that they come to the front in this holy work and assist our good physicians in uprooting an evil, yes, a crime, that is "mounting to heaven" and "crying to God" for if they will not by precept and example, then by law should they be compelled to cease the work of undermining the moral structure of our young people by the teaching of crime-doing. Why not see to it that the police "pull" every church gambling raffle of our city? Why pass laws for the punishment of gambling and like crimes and permit the church to practice the same? Is the seeds of law-breaking under the pretense that the end justifies the means? Are not church raffles and festivals agencies in breaking down the moral principle and thus pave respect for honest money-making and simple, healthful living so necessary to true manhood. Why "pull" gambling-dens that exist, but a thin fully proposed fruitage of the gambling grab-bag of the church — the harvest of the popular church seed-sowing? Why hold our mouths closed when we should open them in defense of our children, born and unborn? The vile abortionists are murderers in the sight of God and all good men and women; they kill the unborn babes. The police, guarding good-natured lawless —that class, I mean, that cares only for the fleece of the flock—that preach of the great sinfulness of sin and never of individual crime, even when in the face of the fact they must know that crime is practiced continually; that go on in the work of condemning the morals of our children, by the sin of omission in keeping silent, perpetuating the beginning of, or the seeds of crime to be sown by their churches, are but the pre-natal murderers of our children's morals.

"Why do they permit the prostituting of our most beautiful and charming young women to the work of ministering to the appetite and passions of the vile? Is it from the one end of money-getting? Why prostitute our loved ones to the work of catching the hard-earned money of toiling clerks in the "opportunity" of "social entertainment"? Why use the most beautiful of our homes in seducing young men to give beyond their means? Why make the beauties of our churches panders in winning, by their charming charms, the young men to ruin, and leaving their power occupy the giddy height of a false life? They, in the moments of over self-confidence, become the seduced, and in the hands of their mates become the victims of the abortionist?

Why fight the dagger and charge it with the crime of murder? No, fight the abortionist instead and the fallen in addition to their guilt. But let not up on the source that creates the crime that makes the abortionist fat and sleek. Go on with your fight and expose the abortionist child-murderers, but let not up on the source that makes the soul and body murders—the cries of ministers that walk our streets and every other street of this great nation, wrapped in "self-righteousness," and "holier than thou," having hearts too cowardly to speak out against crime in the first beginning, lest it offend and imperil their broad, selfish interests. We pray God speedily to bring a great northwest wind that sends now and then to have a Small and a Moody to do the work for them and inspect evangelists of my town-preachers work.

Will not the good ministry fight out the one end of the crime of the days of beginning—and the good physicians at the other end—and thus completely destroy it by both to the end of upbuilding a better and higher manhood? A good beginning makes a good ending. Good preachers and good doctors, and all of THE TIMES, can do much to bless the world. O. TEMPUS.

Medical Colleges Responsible.

Dr. M. A. McClelland of 2200 Prairie avenue, in a report to the Illinois State Medical society in 1886, under the "Advisability and Practicability of Separating Teaching in Medicine and Licensing to Practice," made some statements directly applicable to the matter in hand. He said:

"Herein I have tried to show some of the evils arising from power delegated to the many examining boards, and the fact that the only remedy which was the failing of the office of the physician in the estimation of the public. What was and is necessary to correct the evil has been done in the United States and Canada, in which there are some 120 (including thirty-five colleges, Massachusetts and Missouri only excepted) enforced that it has done nothing but what the state had authorized it to do unless incorporated to teach, examine, and license are the most illiberal and immoral. Many of this society do not know of persons selling the office of physician legally without notoriety is simply that of the abortionist. Why is it that the public are so afraid of this, so long as the state authorizes it? Why do newspapers, as legislators grant, with faculty, charters, just as long will we be afraid of the state? Whenever a conviction is had in these degrees, and it has been done according to legal degrees, and it has been done according to legal right. It can not be argued from these facts that there is no necessity for an allopath can claim that a homeopathic college issues degrees fraudulently. The law makes the faculty of the college the sole judges of the capability of applicants for diplomas. There is no logal restriction, no legal requirement. If the faculty chooses to issue degrees to incompetent persons no law is broken. The fact remains, then, not, therefore, a scheme to defraud under the statute. Possibly it may not be under the statute, but it is a fraud upon the people and we know it better than the courts which give such opinions; yet such things are allowed to continue."

In conclusion Dr. McClelland recommended that the examination of those seeking the honors of the doctorate be removed from the functions of those who teach and who receive the financial benefit of such successful examination.

Graduated Quacks.

LACON, Ill., Dec. 30.—*To the Editor:* We note with pleasure your exposure of the quacks' advertisements in the Chicago papers. We ask, Are all possessors of diplomas received of medical colleges physicians? We think not. Often they are the worst frauds and cheated scoundrels, having but one object in view, "getting gain", gilt is plenty." We know of a case in point: A married lady of known good character was afflicted with a skin disease. She consulted a graduated medical physician. He told her not, therefore, a scheme to defraud under the statute. Possibly it may not be under the statute, but it is a fraud upon the people and we know it better than the courts which give such opinions; yet such things are allowed to continue."

In conclusion Dr. McClelland recommended that the examination of those seeking the honors of the doctorate be removed from the functions of those who teach and who receive the financial benefit of such successful examination.

Graduated Quacks.

LACON, Ill., Dec. 30.—*To the Editor:* We note with pleasure your exposure of the quacks' advertisements in the Chicago papers. We ask, Are all possessors of diplomas received of medical colleges physicians? We think not. Often they are the worst frauds and cheated scoundrels, having but one object in view, "getting gain", gilt is plenty." We know of a case in point: A married lady of known good character was afflicted with a skin disease. She consulted a graduated medical physician. He told her that these abortionists out of the way; expel them for agreeing to perform or aid in bringing about an abortion, and that will punish severely for the same thing also. Such a law properly framed would tend to make doctors respect and keep the oath of Hippocrates on this subject.

"I am afraid I'm a pessimist in regard to legislation on this matter, too," said Dr. R. R. Brower at 70 State street. "I mean by that that in view of past experience with the Illinois legislature I am not sanguine of getting needed laws on our subject. That there are certain things amending in several necessary features there is no doubt. But, with the legislative action on our insanity laws before my mind I do not hope for much that will bring to the law needed in this matter. We need a law that will give the board of examiners power to revoke a license for cause and that will not compel it to give a reason for the withdrawal. I also need a law that will put these abortionists out of the way; expel them for agreeing to perform or aid in bringing about an abortion, and that will punish severely for the same thing also. Such a law properly framed would tend to make doctors respect and keep the oath of Hippocrates on this subject.

"I am afraid, however, that this discussion will do good, and while I don't agree with THE TIMES all the way through I think that in the main good will come of its exposures. It may be able by the agitation to form public opinion so as to induce the legislation needed; it will certainly do much toward bringing many doctors who will commit the crime to abandon it, and it will, I believe, have a reflection on the police system by the women as will deter many from seeking to have it done. Certainly it is in this line that great revolution is needed. But you have started the ball rolling about it. A woman was in to see me the other day and said that as far as she was concerned she and her husband would not have any more children if they did not want them, it mattered not what it was said and written on the subject. Of course a case like this tells its own story. It was a woman of wealth and prominence. I am hardly in favor of the establishment of lying-in hospitals or general first-class hospitals here already lying-in departments which are under excellent management."

Obata Principia.

TO THE EDITOR: In your editorial of Dec. 29 you state that one cause for surprise is expressed that the ministers of the gospel in Chicago are not coming to the front aiding you in your grand, noble, manly, Christian work in exposing the infantal child-murderers.

"This fact should not be a surprise to the close observer of passing events. I will interpret myself in this matter. The great mistake is taken in our outspoken, fearless course in advocating a high and better moral status of our physicians. This is as it should be. God bless you in your good work.

Dr. Harris in his address, recent in stating that your proposed law will not regulate conscience or do away with avarice. Truth, every word of it, but the law proposed is in the right direction and we hope it may pass and be enforced.

Now to our text: "Resist the first beginnings." We, as a people that pay for the ministry of the gospel, and the great majority of our ministers that they come to the front in this holy work and assist our good physicians in uprooting an evil, yes, a crime, that is "mounting to heaven" and "crying to God" for if they will not by precept and example, then by law should they be compelled to cease the work of undermining the moral structure of our young people by the teaching of crime-doing. Why not see to it that the police "pull" every church gambling raffle of our city? Why pass laws for the punishment of gambling and like crimes and permit the church to practice the same? Is the seeds of law-breaking under the pretense that the end justifies the means? Are not church raffles and festivals agencies in breaking down the moral principle and thus pave respect for honest money-making and simple, healthful living so necessary to true manhood. Why "pull" gambling-dens that exist, but a thin fully proposed fruitage of the gambling grab-bag of the church — the harvest of the popular church seed-sowing? Why hold our mouths closed when we should open them in defense of our children, born and unborn? The vile abortionists are murderers in the sight of God and all good men and women; they kill the unborn babes. The police, guarding good-natured lawless —that class, I mean, that cares only for the fleece of the flock—that preach of the great sinfulness of sin and never of individual crime, even when in the face of the fact they must know that crime is practiced continually; that go on in the work of condemning the morals of our children, by the sin of omission in keeping silent, perpetuating the beginning of, or the seeds of crime to be sown by their churches, are but the pre-natal murderers of our children's morals.

"Why do they permit the prostituting of our most beautiful and charming young women to the work of ministering to the appetite and passions of the vile? Is it from the one end of money-getting? Why prostitute our loved ones to the work of catching the hard-earned money of toiling clerks in the "opportunity" of "social entertainment"? Why use the most beautiful of our homes in seducing young men to give beyond their means? Why make the beauties of our churches panders in winning, by their charming charms, the young men to ruin, and leaving their power occupy the giddy height of a false life? They, in the moments of over self-confidence, become the seduced, and in the hands of their mates become the victims of the abortionist?

Why fight the dagger and charge it with the crime of murder? No, fight the abortionist instead and the fallen in addition to their guilt. But let not up on the source that creates the crime that makes the abortionist fat and sleek. Go on with your fight and expose the abortionist child-murderers, but let not up on the source that makes the soul and body murders—the cries of ministers that walk our streets and every other street of this great nation, wrapped in "self-righteousness," and "holier than thou," having hearts too cowardly to speak out against crime in the first beginning, lest it offend and imperil their broad, selfish interests. We pray God speedily to bring a great northwest wind that sends now and then to have a Small and a Moody to do the work for them and inspect evangelists of my town-preachers work.

Will not the good ministry fight out the one end of the crime of the days of beginning—and the good physicians at the other end—and thus completely destroy it by both to the end of upbuilding a better and higher manhood? A good beginning makes a good ending. Good preachers and good doctors, and all of THE TIMES, can do much to bless the world. O. TEMPUS.

Medical Colleges Responsible.

Dr. M. A. McClelland of 2200 Prairie avenue, in a report to the Illinois State Medical society in 1886, under the "Advisability and Practicability of Separating Teaching in Medicine and Licensing to Practice," made some statements directly applicable to the matter in hand. He said:

"Herein I have tried to show some of the evils arising from power delegated to too many examining boards, and the fact that the only remedy which was the failing of the office of the physician in the estimation of the public. What was and is necessary to correct the evil has been done in the United States and Canada, in which there are some 120 (including thirty-five colleges, Massachusetts and Missouri only excepted) enforced that it has done nothing but what the state had authorized it to do unless incorporated to teach, examine, and license are the most illiberal and immoral. Many of this society do not know of persons selling the office of physician legally without notoriety is simply that of the abortionist. Why is it that the public are so afraid of this, so long as legislators grant, with faculty, charters, just as long will we be afraid of the state? Whenever a conviction is had in these degrees, and it has been done according to legal degrees, and it has been done according to legal right. It can not be argued from these facts that there is no necessity for an allopath can claim that a homeopathic college issues degrees fraudulently. The law makes the faculty of the college the sole judges of the capability of applicants for diplomas. There is no legal restriction, no legal requirement. If the faculty chooses to issue degrees to incompetent persons no law is broken. The fact remains, then,